3-Year Assessment Report – 2018-2020

GSW College of Business and Computing

The third-year assessment report for the College of Business and Computing (graduate and undergraduate) is attached in this document. This report presents three-year assessment data for 2018-2020, analysis of data trends, and plans for improvement.

Undergraduate Program Effectiveness and Program Outcomes Results

The following five outcomes were determined after several meetings of the Assurance of Learning Committee at COBAC. The committee members reached out to all majors to evaluate the course objectives within each discipline. After reviewing all relevant course objectives per each discipline, each discipline committee (for example, marketing, accounting, etc.) summarized the core learning objectives that students majoring in that discipline should expect to master. The AOL committee established the five outcomes below as an objective-to-measure match — each outcome below reflects the skills that students are expected to master based on the core course objectives per discipline. Next, each discipline committee - represented by faculty subject experts in each discipline - had a meeting to determine in which courses each of the below five objectives is either introduced, reinforced, or assessed. The outcome data below reflect the assessment step of the process — where each outcome that matches the discipline objectives is measured. Thus, we have ensured that each outcome is meaningful, relates directly to course objectives in each discipline, and is assessed in courses where students are expected to demonstrate mastery in the outcomes.

Outcomes	Outcome	2018 Outcome	2019 Outcome	2020 Outcome
	Measures	Data Data		Data
1. Critical	Our students are	In BUSA3050, 33	IN MKTG4890, 72	In ACCT3280, 8
Thinking. Our	tasked with solving	students were	students were	students were
juniors and	case studies to	evaluated and	evaluated and	evaluated and75%
seniors in a	deliver effective	88% met or	98% met or	met or exceed
business major	recommendations.	exceed	exceed	expectations. Not
will apply their	At least 80% of the	expectations. Met	expectations. Met	Met
problem solving	students should			
and analytical	meet or exceed			
skills by using	expectations, based			
quantitative	on a detailed rubric			
techniques	per each			
learned in the	assignment.			
appropriate				
courses				
		In ECON2105, 41	In Busa3050, 27	In MGNT4190, 20
		students were	students were	students were
		evaluated and	evaluated and	evaluated and 95%
		68% met or	93% met or	met or exceed
		exceed		expectations. Met

		expectations. Not	exceed	
		Met	expectations. Met	
		· · · ·	expectations. Wiet	
			In HRMT4790, 4	In MKTG4890, 21
			students were	students were
			evaluated and	evaluated and 95%
			78% met or	met or exceed
			exceed	expectations. Met
			expectations. Not	
			Met	
			In MGNT4190, 34	In BUSA3050, 67
			students were	students were
			evaluated and	evaluated and 69%
			94% met or	met or exceed
			exceed	expectations. Not
			expectations. Met	Met
				In HRMT4790, 14
				students were
				evaluated and 79%
				met or exceed
				expectations. Not
				Met
2. Ethics. Our	Our students	In HRMT4670 30	In ACCT4290 15	In ACCT4290 16
juniors and	identify an ethical	students were	students were	students were
seniors will	dilemma in a	evaluated and	evaluated and	evaluated and
understand the	scenario case and	97% met or	100% met or	100% met or
meaning and	apply an ethical	exceed	exceed	exceed
importance of	model to propose	expectations. Met	expectations. Met	expectations. Met
ethical behavior	and defend a	'	'	'
and will apply	resolution. This is a			
this knowledge	Case/Project			
to address	evaluation. At least			
ethical issues in	80% of the			
their	students should			
professional	meet or exceed			
lives.	expectations, based			
11463.	on a detailed			
	rubric.			
	TADITC.		In HRMT4790 9	
			students were	
			evaluated and	
			89% met or	
			exceed	
			expectations. Met	

3. Oral	Our students	no data available	HRMT4650 6	In HRMT 4650 21
Communication	demonstrate how	auta availabie	presentations	projects were
Our juniors and	to communicate	were evaluated		evaluated and 95%
seniors will	presentations to a		and 100% met or	met or exceed
demonstrate	business audience.		exceed	expectations. Met
effective	Students present		expectations. Met	expectations. Wiet
communicators	their findings from		expediations: Wet	
in presentations	their work on a			
based on	project. At least			
organization of	80% of the			
their thoughts,	students should			
eye contact,	met or exceed			
delivery,	expectations, based			
conclusion and	on a detailed			
use of visual	rubric.			
aids				
			in MKTG4830 18	HRMT4690 27
			projects were	projects were
			evaluated and	evaluated and 70%
			100% met or	met or exceed
			exceed	expectations. Not
			expectations. Met	Met
4. Written	Our students	no data available	In HRMT4790 10	In HRMT4690 27
Communication	demonstrate how		students were	students were
s . Our juniors	to effectively write		evaluated and	evaluated and 66%
and seniors will	a business		80% met or	met or exceed
be effective	document by		exceed	expectations. Not
communicators	creating a project		expectations. Met	Met
in a written	to achieve business			
project based	objectives. At least			
on organization,	80% of the			
analysis, use of	students should			
supporting	met or exceed			
sources, and	expectations, based			
conclusion.	on a detailed			
	rubric.			

5. Information	Our students will	no data available	In MGNT3700 20	In ACCT 4210 17
Technology.	know how to use		students were	students were
Our juniors and	technology in a		evaluated and	evaluated and
seniors will	business-related		75% met or	100% met or
demonstrate	environment by		exceed	exceed
problem solving	working on a		expectations. Not	expectations. Met
skills supported	project and		Met	
by the correct	analyzing relevant			
use of	data. At least 80%			
information	of the students			
technology and	should met or			
appropriate	exceed			
software to	expectations, based			
manipulate,	on a detailed			
analyze, and	rubric.			
present				
meaningful				
results that				
address				
assigned				
business issues.				
				In MGNT3700 24
				students were
				evaluated and 92%
				met or exceed
				expectations. Met

Graduate Program Effectiveness and Program Outcomes Results – was not evaluated in this period due to poor execution. The MBA program will be evaluated on the same schedule as the undergraduate program going forward.

Analysis of Data Trends

The AOL committee and the Dean review the results continuously and aggregate results are discussed in faculty meetings. The results and data trends are also addressed in our AACSB reporting. We provide the following interpretation of the results:

Outcome 1. Critical Thinking – We met the objective for most of the classes in two years, except in 2020 in three classes. We continue to focus on developing the critical thinking of our students in all classes and we will continue to introduce and reinforce this skill in other classes in the same discipline.

Outcome 2. Ethics – We met the objective in all evaluated classes. Ethics continues to be reinforced and applied in all business classes.

Outcome 3. Oral Communication – We met the objective in all classes except for one. This is an isolated case, and we will continue to focus on future upward direction in this area.

Outcome 4. Written Communication – We met the objective in some of the evaluated classes. We will reach out to faculty who teach classes where written communication is introduced and reinforced to ensure that students continue to improve their writing skills. We will also highlight the university resources that can help students to improve their communication skills.

Outcome 5. Information Technology – We met expectations in most of the classes. We discuss improvements in instruction to address this outcome in the next section.

In Spring 2021 we also conducted an overall student satisfaction survey with the goal of evaluating students' perceptions of the effectiveness and quality of our business programs. We will continue with this practice going forward, repeating this assessment in the spring semester. Fifty-nine students who are juniors and seniors enrolled in a business major completed the survey. Students were asked to disagree or agree with the following statement (from 1/strongly disagree to 5/strongly agree). The results are presented below.

Survey to evaluate the relevance of GSW curriculum - results

	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std. Dev
The core/major classes at GSW have helped me think more critically	59	1	5	3.98	1.106
The core/major classes have helped me communicate more effectively	59	1	5	4.17	1.020
The core/major classes have improved my ability to reason quantitatively	59	1	5	4.03	1.033
The core/major classes have improved my ability to solve problems	59	1	5	4.17	1.003
The core/major classes have improved my ability to find and evaluate information	59	1	5	4.27	.868
In my core/major classes I was exposed to many different points of view	59	1	5	4.24	1.023
There were times when my professors made me think deeply about important issues	59	1	5	4.10	1.045
Current issues and real-life examples were used to make the courses interesting and relevant		1	5	4.37	.869

The core/major classes at GSW are well designed to prepare me for a career in the real world	59	1	5	4.00	1.300
The core/major classes have been important in helping me become a professional in a field of my interest	59	1	5	3.97	1.174
After taking all core/major classes I (will) feel prepared to get a job in an industry of my interest	59	1	5	4.00	1.067
I will recommend to friends/family to pursue their education at GSW	59	1	5	4.12	1.068

Some of the items evaluated students' level of agreement with our learning objectives indirectly. The results support the continuous improvement efforts in our teachings in the business school. Based on the results, most of the scores indicated a high level of satisfaction with the majors offered and with the teaching environment here GSW. Most of the scores were over a 4.0 indicating agreement with the statements.

Plans for Action and Improvement

COBAC uses a strategic process for determining and revising our degree program outcomes. Below is a flow of the process.

At the COBAC faculty meeting every August, the Assurance of Learning (AOL) committee educates the rest of COBAC faculty on the five outcomes listed above and how these outcomes will be evaluated through the academic year. Faculty continually evaluates the learning objectives for each concentration (i.e., for accounting, management, HR, marketing, and the graduate programs) to ensure that the objectives relate to achieving the five outcomes listed above. In addition, we have established the following schedule for evaluation of the outcomes:

Information Technology - Assessed in Fall via Rubric

Oral Communications - Assessed in Fall via Rubric

Written Communications - Assessed in Fall via Rubric

Ethics - Assessed in Fall via Rubric

Critical Thinking - Assessed in Spring via Rubric

Once the aggregate results of the assessments are prepared, they are communicated to all faculty in our faculty meetings. We discuss any implications and any areas for improvement in the process or in our courses.

Since being reaccredited in 2020, we have made the following modifications in the programs.

Oral Communication - Oral communication is a critical part of a student's success. In the HR function, faculty aligned to provide more opportunities for the students to demonstrate and practice the skill of oral communications. In order to do that, exercises/projects were added to the Performance Management, Compensation Management and Labor Relations course to provide more exercises that develop better oral communication skills. It has also been incorporated into the HR Staffing Class (Dr. Grimes) for further exposure and opportunity for development.

Critical Thinking - Critical thinking is another important learning objective that is evaluated in the strategic capstone course with Dr. Bennett and Dr. Fathi. The course reinforces all the learning objectives of information technology, oral communication written communications, ethics, critical thinking, and business knowledge. Business knowledge is evaluated through the MFAT exam, but critical thinking is evaluated through a process that includes a modified game in which students compete against other student teams across the world. As a step towards developing better critical thinking skills the professors have the students present a written reflection document that outlines their thought process and strategy for the investment. Those reflections are given detailed feedback by the professors to better improve the students' critical thinking skills.

Information Technology - Data manipulation, construction of a data model, data analysis and data presentation are part of the rubric evaluation. For the Information Systems course 3700, Dr. Parks recognized that students struggle with basic excel skills. So, part of the course curriculum, he has built in time earlier in the semester to review and allow students the opportunity to practice those skills.